

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-145 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/810,191 | 03/19/2001 | Masato Mitsumori | ASA-990 | 6919 |
| 24956 | 7590 10/06/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| MATTINGLY, STANGER & MALUR, P.C. | | | RUTTEN, JAMES D | |
| 1800 DIAGO SUITE 370 | NAL ROAD | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | RIA, VA 22314 | | 2122 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/06/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | // \ | | | | |
|---|--|---|---------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | 70 | | | | |
| | 09/810,191 | MITSUMORI ET | AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | / | | | | |
| | J. Derek Rutten | 2122 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appearing for Reply | pears on the cover sh | eet with the correspondence a | ddress | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL | V IS SET TO EXPIR | E 3 MONTH(S) FROM | | | | | |
| THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replection of the provided of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the part of the period of | 136(a). In no event, however, ly within the statutory minimur will apply and will expire SIX e, cause the application to be | may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered time (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 J | une 2004. | | | | | | |
| , , | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 20-25 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 20-25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | wn from consideratio | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | - | NED 4 404(4) | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | · | | • • | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | ts have been receive ts have been receive ority documents have au (PCT Rule 17.2(a) | d. d in Application No been received in this Nationa). | l Stage | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Par 5) | erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date per No(s)/Mail Date per of Informal Patent Application (PT per: | ⁻ O-152) | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Art Unit: 2122

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Acknowledgement is made of Applicant's amendment dated June 30, 2004, responding to the March 30, 2004 Office Action provided in the rejection of claims 1-19, wherein claims 1-19 have been canceled, and new claims 20-25 have been added. Claims 20-25 remain pending in the application and have been fully considered by the examiner.
- 2. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 23 is objected to because of the following informalities: A typo at the end of line 9 results in the phrase "plurality or", which should be --plurality of--. Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2122

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 5. Claims 20-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant points out on page 16 of the amendment that the claims find support from Fig. 9 and pages 16 and 17 of the originally filed specification. Review of these portions of the specification does not find support for "storing analysis information obtained by syntax analysis of said source-program". A compiler necessarily performs syntax analysis during the transformation of source code to object code during the step of parsing the code. Syntax analysis ensures that a given code sequence makes sense according to a language's grammar. The cited portion on pages 16 and 17 and Fig. 9 of the originally filed specification discusses the inclusion of information regarding a version of a compiler that was used to compile the code, and an indication of optimization level used during compilation. However, this data is not related to syntax analysis. Further review of the originally filed specification failed to reveal support for "analysis information obtained by syntax analysis".
- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 2122

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 7. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 8. Claim 21 recites the limitation "said analysis information stored in said object program file" in lines 2 and 3 of page 6 of the amendment. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Earlier portions of the claim do not reference any analysis information that is stored in an object program file. For the purpose of further examination, the analysis information will be interpreted as having been stored in the object program file by the processing part for storing.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- U.S. Patent 5,500,881 describes the desirability of storing a version of a compiler along with options used during compiler invocation as well as program source code in one logical storage location called a "model" (column 20).

Japanese Patent JP 2000357097 A discloses retrieving compiler versions and options from an object program (Abstract).

Art Unit: 2122

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. Derek Rutten whose telephone number is (703) 605-5233. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30-3:00.

Page 5

After October 25, 2004, examiner can be reached at new telephone number (571) 272-3703, and the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Q. Dam can be reached at (571) 272-3694.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Q. Dam can be reached on (703) 305-4552. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jdr

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER